PIMCO’s Best Practice Guidance for Sustainable Bond Issuance

PIMCO’s ESG (environmental, social, and governance) portfolio management team outlines the following best practices for issuers of green, social, sustainability or sustainability-linked bonds.

Outline:
I) Best practice guidance for green, social and sustainability bonds
II) Sustainability-linked bonds
III) Social bonds related to COVID-19
IV) Background on PIMCO green bond and climate engagement frameworks

I) Best practice guidance for green, social and sustainability bonds

1. International Capital Market Association (ICMA) Principles and Guidelines: Bond framework should be clearly aligned with ICMA Green and Social Bond Principles and Sustainability Bond Guidelines (e.g., setting out eligibility thresholds and planned impact reporting) with specific details where feasible (e.g., examples of investments linked to positive sustainability outcomes).

2. Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): Use of proceeds should be clearly aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals, with impact reporting referencing specific targets or indicators. ICMA has provided a high level mapping to the SDGs.

3. Connection with the issuer long-term strategy: Bond framework should be aligned with sustainability objectives that are connected to the firm-wide business strategy, e.g.:
   - Governance recognized by standards such as Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD).
   - Ambitious carbon emission reduction target recognized by standards or scenarios such as the science-based target initiative.
   - Business and investment mix shift as per recognized standards or scenarios such as the International Energy Agency (IEA) and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)/Paris agreement-aligned pathways, including sector specific indicators, e.g., coal or internal combustion engine scale down and phase out for utilities and auto, respectively.

4. Lookback period: If using a lookback period, issuer should indicate how much of the use of proceeds (UOP) will be allocated to projects already funded (approximate estimate can be acceptable).
   - Preference is for UOP to fund new investments.
   - If UOP will be used to refinance or re-label prior spending, this should be disclosed.

5. Intended UOP split by activity: The issuer should communicate the expected UOP by type of activity (e.g., renewable energy by type, building by green certification level) and type of spending (e.g., capital expenditures versus operating expenditures) ahead of the new issue.
   - UOP should finance/refinance eligible capital or operating expenses, not philanthropic efforts.
   - Potential project pipeline: UOP on projects should disclose the total expected cost of the possible project pipeline.

6. Duration of activities: Operating expenses should be supported by a compelling case (e.g., maintenance costs related to green assets, or research and development spending) or kept to a minimum, in line with the guidelines developed in the context of the European Union (EU) Green Bond Standards.
7. **Eligible projects should have duration at least equal to the full life of the bond**: Or the issuer should commit that repayments and maturing investments will be reinvested in additional eligible projects until the maturity of the bond.
   a. For example, proceeds used to purchase goods for sale or make loans should be renewed over the expected life of the issue or longer.
   b. The reinvestment commitment aligns the “flow” UOP for the purchase of sustainable cost of goods sold (COGS) with a “stock” UOP that funds long term capital investments (e.g., solar and wind generation).

8. **EU Taxonomy / EU Green Bond Standard**: Proactively communicate the likely alignment of the Use of Proceeds with the EU taxonomy for sustainable activities.
   a. Wherever possible and appropriate, issuers should ensure that the Use of Proceeds is aligned with the latest technical screening criteria (e.g., <100g CO2/kWh for electricity generation, etc.) and that the bond is more broadly aligned with the other proposed core components of EU Green Bond Standard.
   b. This guidance is relevant for issuers based outside of the EU as well (US, UK, Asia, South America) to expand the set of investors that may be able to invest in the bond.

9. **Demonstrate Ambition.**
   a. **Issuer’s firm-wide performance**: [ICMA’s Climate Transition Finance Handbook](#) provides guidance for issuers in 'hard to abate' sectors to demonstrate their credentials.
   b. **Eligibility thresholds**: The Paris Agreement-aligned pathways imply meaningful improvements versus business as usual and the ambition to improve should be increased over time (e.g., at least a 30% improvement for buildings retrofits and if possible higher).
   c. **Types of expenses**: Emphasize investments that are the most pressing and critical to advance the Paris Agreement on climate change (e.g., Capital Expenditures and Research & Development expenditures into areas identified as priorities and leading to meaningful carbon savings for issuers’ core business and assets, including renewable energy generation and infrastructure, energy storage, electrification of energy end-use, green hydrogen, energy storage, sustainable bioenergy, alternative proteins, instead of Corporate Renewable PPAs and operational expenditures linked to modest energy efficiency improvements).

10. **Transparency**: Provide supporting documentation to investors in a timely fashion so they can make an informed underwriting decision, at least a full working day prior to issuance (for syndicates).
    a. **Bond framework** should be a standalone document (i.e., not only as a Use of Proceeds statement in the prospectus or pricing supplement) available publicly on the issuer’s website. In jurisdictions where there are legal challenges to publishing a separate framework in advance of a new issuance, issuers should make the framework available on their website as soon as feasible after the deal is announced.
    b. **External review** (second party opinion, verification, or certification).
    c. **Sustainability report or update** for the issuer and/or parent company.
    d. **Previous green, social or sustainability bond reports** (allocation, impact).

11. **Impact Reporting**: Should be in line with ICMA principles, for [impact reporting](#), and the SDGs:
    a. Issuers should commit to providing an updated impact report including outcome metrics at least annually as long as each bond remains outstanding, allowing investors to track changes and progress. The first report should be published no later than one year after the initial bond issuance.
    b. Impact reporting should link to firm-wide targets (e.g., if the company is targeting a 30% reduction in carbon intensity by 2025, they should present this in the framework document alongside metrics that the company reports related to the bond).
    c. Standardized format should enable aggregation from an investor’s perspective, with outcome metrics attributable to the specific investments funded by the UOP. Investors need to be able to calculate their impact per unit of investment in the bond and need to be able to aggregate impacts at a portfolio level across multiple bonds. Example of good practice: [Nordic Investment Bank (NIB)’s impact tables](#).
    d. Regarding carbon emissions, issuers should include avoided emissions and aggregate absolute emissions, lifecycle carbon intensity per sales and relevant output-based metrics (e.g., megawatt hour (MWh) for energy or square meter for real estate), to allow comparison with firm-wide carbon performance and peers in a portfolio context, and in line with the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol that indicates ‘Companies should not make claims about positive impacts without
being transparent about whether their Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions are increasing or decreasing. Example of good practice: Castellum. The Greenhouse Gas Protocol defines Scope 3 emissions as all indirect emissions in the value chain of a company not captured in Scope 2, indirect emissions from the generation of purchased energy, or Scope 1, direct emissions from owned or controlled sources.

e. Provide specific guidelines about output-based metrics (buildings per exact certification level, e.g., Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Silver or above, and energy performance improvements for retrofits, e.g., a two-notch increase in Energy Performance Certificate (EPC) label). Example of good practice: ARE.

f. Include the geographic location of each project. Example of good practice: Avangrid Green Bond Report.

g. Provide ‘ownership’ impact metric, total and project specific data. Example of good practice: MUFG Green Bond Report. Investors need to attribute outcome metrics relative to the amount invested in the bond. Issuers should report the [Outcome Metric] volume that can be attributed specifically to the investment funded by the bond and all firm-wide numbers need to be on a bond-by-bond basis. In cases where the bond only partially funds a larger project, the portion funded by the bond needs to be disclosed on a project-by-project level.

h. Include details on the methodology and calculation for the metrics reported including emission factors, including independent verification. Example of good practice: BBVA Green Bond Report.

Recap of noteworthy practices structured per sector, including additional examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Best Practice for disclosing…</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Banks</td>
<td>KBC Groep NV</td>
<td>Methodology to calculate avoided emissions, including independent verification</td>
<td><a href="#">Link</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks</td>
<td>ING</td>
<td>Methodology to calculate avoided emissions, including consultant input and publication of both pre and post issuance impact reports</td>
<td><a href="#">Link</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REIT</td>
<td>Castellum</td>
<td>Detailed environmental data for each project (e.g., specific environmental certification level, carbon, water footprint), coupled with context regarding the portfolio's carbon and energy intensity and performance over time</td>
<td><a href="#">Link</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REIT</td>
<td>Alexandria Real Estate</td>
<td>Providing the LEED Certification level for each project</td>
<td><a href="#">Link</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>Orsted</td>
<td>Granular and comprehensive green bond impact reporting, including connections with firm-wide strategy and targets</td>
<td><a href="#">Link</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>Iberdrola</td>
<td>Granular and comprehensive green bond impact reporting</td>
<td><a href="#">Link</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>Xcel</td>
<td>Holistic approach that includes social indicators mapped to the SDGs</td>
<td><a href="#">Link</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecom</td>
<td>Telefonica</td>
<td>Audited impact measurement including detailed allocation, impact methodology and several KPIs</td>
<td><a href="#">Link</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government-related</td>
<td>NIB</td>
<td>In a user friendly and standardized format that enables aggregation from an investor's perspective and to produce annual data (impact tables in Excel)</td>
<td><a href="#">Link</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government-related</td>
<td>SNCF</td>
<td>Detailed methodology for avoided emissions on a lifecycle basis</td>
<td><a href="#">Link</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. **Temporary use of proceeds**: Issuers should avoid “temporary” Use of Proceeds. In cases where this is unavoidable, the issuer should transparently justify any temporary uses related to debt refinancing or investment (e.g. project timetable, detailed disclosure and appropriate timeline for full allocation), demonstrating that the delay in allocation to Eligible Projects is minimized.

   a. The debt repayment and investments concerned should not be related directly or indirectly to any activities misaligned with the green bond objectives or ESG exclusion criteria (e.g. General Corporate Purpose assets that may be linked to fossil fuel projects or dividends to shareholders of companies involved in such activities).

13. **Green Bank Additional Tier 1 / Preferred Capital**: Use of proceeds perpetual capital instruments are not favored. The AT1 structure is capital for regulatory purposes, which means that its Use of Proceeds cannot be used directly to fund Green lending (loss absorbing equity capital; perpetual maturity; bail-in eligible; regulatory call dates; etc.). Our view is that Green, Social and Sustainability bonds that are intended to support lending for Eligible Projects should be limited to Senior and Subordinated instruments; however, we do see a strong rationale for banks to issue capital instruments under the SLBP that are aligned with long term corporate targets.
II) **Sustainability-linked bonds**

The Sustainability-Linked Bond Principles (SLBP) provide a framework for issuers to connect financing needs with the achievement of firm-wide sustainability performance and targets that advance the United Nations (UN) Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

PIMCO sees bonds linked to the SDGs as an emerging best practice for issuers seeking to demonstrate positive sustainability outcomes. **We encourage issuers** to come to the market with frameworks that align with these voluntary process guidelines, particularly for issuers in carbon intensive industries looking to make long term progress.

The EU Technical Expert Group final report on the taxonomy provides another useful resource that issuers may leverage when issuing a Sustainability-linked bond. For example, issuers may contemplate targets that would include an increase in the share of revenues, loans or investment that is associated with activities compatible with the eligibility criteria.

In addition to the guidelines outlined by the SLBP, PIMCO **encourages issuers to incorporate progressive milestones into their SPTs** (sustainability performance targets) to ensure that issuers demonstrate progress throughout the life of the bond. For example, a ten-year bond with a KPI (key performance indicators) measuring the issuer’s share of renewable electricity generation could include several progressively more challenging SPTs over the life of the bond (e.g., 40% by year 3, 50% by year 5, and 60% by year 7, etc.).

a. To be compelling, **Sustainability-linked bond should include an interim sustainability performance targets** to reflect the issuer’s commitment to make consistent progress and the importance of clear intermediate targets (i.e. coupon step-up instead of variable redemption amount at maturity). We do not regard SLBs with long maturities (>5yrs) that do not have an interim step.

b. PIMCO prefers coupon steps structured like typical bond covenants to facilitate the mainstreaming of the instrument and its connection with credible and ambitious targets, i.e. cash payments to investors rather than charitable donations.

c. Coupon steps should be step-ups and not step-downs.

The table below identifies potential themes, indicators, SDGs and metrics that could inform the two first components of the SLBP, namely: 1) The selection of key performance indicators (KPIs); and 2) Calibration of sustainability performance targets (SPTs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>SDG</th>
<th>Bond reporting</th>
<th>Firm-wide target</th>
<th>Initiative or benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of people with access to clean drinking water, absolute (gross) water saving</td>
<td>Water intensity reduction, water withdrawals monitoring and reduction (context-based), supplier engagement, Water, Sanitation &amp; Hygiene (WASH))</td>
<td>CDP, CEO Water Mandate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable energy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Installed renewable capacity and generation</td>
<td>Share of renewable electricity consumption / production</td>
<td>RE100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric vehicle</td>
<td></td>
<td>Share of battery electric vehicle and lifecycle GHG emissions of the project portfolio (intensity and absolute)</td>
<td>Share of battery electric vehicle in the fleet and lifecycle GHG emissions of the fleet (intensity and absolute)</td>
<td>EV100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon emissions</td>
<td></td>
<td>Avoided carbon emissions, carbon intensity of the portfolio (e.g., CO2/MWh or square meter)</td>
<td>Paris-alignment or net zero carbon emissions</td>
<td>Science Based Target Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular economy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount of recovered materials thanks to product re-design, new business models and/or reverse logistics or increase of bio based/recycled materials utilization</td>
<td>Phasing out single-use plastics, % of recyclable, reusable or compostable plastic packaging, % of bio-based materials</td>
<td>New Plastic Economy Global Commitment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health &amp; Nutrition</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased/decreased positive/negative nutrients (sodium, sugar, bad fats vs protein, fiber), affordability</td>
<td>Increased/decreased exposure to products associated with positive/negative nutrients and health outcomes</td>
<td>Access to Nutrition Index, nutrient profile systems, WHO guidelines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III) Social bonds related to COVID-19

ICMA’s Social Bond Principles provide guidelines for use of proceeds bonds that raise funds for new and existing projects with positive social outcomes, including programs to prevent and alleviate unemployment from socioeconomic crises. We anticipate significant growth of social bond issuance to aid in recovery from the impacts of COVID-19. We support ICMA’s guidance for issuers.

1. Use of proceeds (UOP) should be used to benefit COVID-19 challenges, and/or other challenges laid out in the Social/Green Bond Principles, for the full life of the bond.
   a. We recognize there is uncertainty around the level of needed investment for COVID-19. Bonds should be issued with a use of proceeds framework that ensures UOP will be directed towards COVID-19 needs, then broader social and/or environmental challenges specific to the issuers, for the life of the bond.

2. Impact metrics should be specific to COVID-19 needs. For example:
   a. Banks providing SME (Small and Mid-size Enterprise) loans could report jobs maintained/created and the number of business loan recipients extending additional healthcare benefits to workers.
   b. Pharmaceutical companies could report the amount invested in research and development (R&D) for COVID-19 drugs or testing and the number of people treated/tested.
   c. Manufacturing companies could report the number of protective equipment produced.
   d. Education companies could report the number of students enrolled in alternative forms of education (including online).
   e. All deeply impacted sectors could report the number of employees with increased access to healthcare and benefits (including access to telehealth, mental health counselling, childcare alternatives, etc.)

3. Issuers should have a substantive sustainability strategy in place, addressing immediate challenges around COVID-19 alongside other material risks such as climate risks, natural resource use, supply chain sustainability, and human capital management.
   a. An effective governance structure should be in place to oversee ESG challenges.
   b. A special committee to oversee COVID-19 risk may be warranted. This body could oversee the company’s internal operational risk management around COVID-19 and/or ensure UOP are designated towards credible projects.
   c. The company should have a demonstrated track record on effectively managing material ESG risk/opportunity.

IV) Background on PIMCO green bond and climate engagement frameworks

PIMCO green bond framework

Our framework (outlined in our ESG Annual Report) assesses these instruments both prior to and after issuance, mapping them across a spectrum based on three criteria:

1. Strategic fit: Alignment of the issuers’ climate / environmental / social strategies with the bond’s objectives and use of proceeds.
2. Impact assessment: Evidence of significant positive outcomes compared to “business as usual” by the issuer.
3. Red flags and reporting: Screening for “red flags” and controversies and analysis of reporting and process (misalignments to market standards (e.g. Green Bond Principles)).

This results in a score and ESG recommendation regarding the suitability of these bonds for PIMCO’s portfolios.

PIMCO expectations towards issuers on climate change

We evaluate the evidence of issuer’s best effort and performance in relation to the following points, acknowledging issuers are at various stages, and the importance of the direction of travel and momentum.

Issuer’s response to these questions inform: 1) Our green bond framework criteria (strategic fit) for our green bond score at the issuance level and; 2) PIMCO’s environmental assessment and score at the issuer level.

Both scores are integrated into PIMCO’s credit research notes.
For more information, please see the following publications:

- Managing Climate Risk in Investment Portfolios: PIMCO’s Approach
- PIMCO Climate Bond Strategy: Investing in Sustainable Solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate Awareness</th>
<th>Recognition</th>
<th>i</th>
<th>Does the issuer recognize climate change as a significant issue and has it developed a policy?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Readiness</td>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>Does the issuer reports both its absolute and relative carbon emissions across the entire value chain (including scope 3), including carbon intensity based on relevant production metrics (e.g. MWh for Utilities, km for Auto)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>ii</td>
<td>Has the issuer set a GHG emissions reductions target?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Alignment</td>
<td>Scenarios</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>Does the issuer carry out and disclose a comprehensive and quantitative scenario analysis, including conclusions regarding the financial impact and with reference to transition risk, e.g. policy shift towards 1.5-2°C temperature rise scenario, and physical risks, e.g. rise in frequency of extreme weather events?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ii</td>
<td>Does the issuer use an internal price of carbon for its most relevant value chain impact (e.g. scope 3 for oil and gas, and auto) and factor it in to business planning and investment decisions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>Has the issuer set a Paris Agreement-aligned (1.5°C science-based) GHG emissions reductions target (across all relevant scopes)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ii</td>
<td>Has the issuer set a net zero commitment (including quantitative interim targets)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>iii</td>
<td>Does the issuer report evidence that its business plan is aligned with the Paris Agreement (e.g. capex, R&amp;D, technology, revenues, profit exposure outlook, lobbying) and potential climate adaptation needs (resilience to physical risks)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>If the company has set a net zero target, 1) which share of lifecycle emissions does it cover; 2) does it plan to absorb and offset emissions; and 3) does the company disclose the absorption and offset mechanism details (including alignment with science and certified methods of GHG removal and offsetting)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact: ESG_Analyst_Inquiries@pimco.com
Disclaimers

Socially responsible investing is qualitative and subjective by nature, and there is no guarantee that the criteria utilized, or judgment exercised, by PIMCO will reflect the beliefs or values of any one particular investor. Information regarding responsible practices is obtained through voluntary or third-party reporting, which may not be accurate or complete, and PIMCO is dependent on such information to evaluate a company’s commitment to, or implementation of, responsible practices. Socially responsible norms differ by region.

There is no assurance that the socially responsible investing strategy and techniques employed will be successful. Past performance is not a guarantee or reliable indicator of future results.

All investments contain risk and may lose value. Investing in the bond market is subject to risks, including market, interest rate, issuer, credit, inflation risk, and liquidity risk. The value of most bonds and bond strategies are impacted by changes in interest rates. Bonds and bond strategies with longer durations tend to be more sensitive and volatile than those with shorter durations; bond prices generally fall as interest rates rise, and low interest rate environments increase this risk. Reductions in bond counterparty capacity may contribute to decreased market liquidity and increased price volatility. Bond investments may be worth more or less than the original cost when redeemed.

This material contains the current opinions of the managers and such opinions are subject to change without notice. This material is distributed for informational purposes only and should not be considered as investment advice or a recommendation of any particular security, strategy or investment product. Information contained herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but not guaranteed.

PIMCO as a general matter provides services to qualified institutions, financial intermediaries and institutional investors. Individual investors should contact their own financial professional to determine the most appropriate investment options for their financial situation. This is not an offer to any person in any jurisdiction where unlawful or unauthorized. | Pacific Investment Management Company LLC, 650 Newport Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660 is regulated by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. | PIMCO Europe Ltd (Company No. 2604517) is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (12 Endeavour Square, London E20 1JN) in the UK. The services provided by PIMCO Europe Ltd are not available to individual investors, who should not rely on this communication but contact their financial adviser. PIMCO Europe GmbH (Company No. 120823, Seidstr. 24-24a, 88335 Munich, Germany), PIMCO Europe GmbH Italian Branch (Company No. 10005170963), PIMCO Europe GmbH Spanish Branch (N.I.F. W2765338E) and PIMCO Europe GmbH Irish Branch (Company No. 999462) are authorised and regulated by the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin) (Marie- Curie- Str. 24-28, 60439 Frankfurt am Main) in Germany in accordance with Section 32 of the German Banking Act (KWG). The Italian Branch, Irish Branch and Spanish Branch are additionally supervised by the Commissione Nazionale per le Società e la Borsa (CONSOB) in accordance with Article 27 of the Italian Consolidated Financial Act and the Comisión Nacional del Mercado de Valores (CNMV) in accordance with obligations stipulated in articles 168 and 203 to 224, as well as obligations contained in Title V, Section I of the Law on the Securities Market (LSM) and in articles 111, 114 and 117 of Royal Decree 217/2008, respectively. The services provided by PIMCO Europe GmbH are available only to professional clients as defined in Section 67 para. 2 German Securities Trading Act (WpHG). They are not available to individual investors, who should not rely on this communication. | PIMCO (Schweiz) GmbH (registered in Switzerland, Company No. CH-020.4.038.582-2). The services provided by PIMCO (Schweiz) GmbH are not available to individual investors, who should not rely on this communication but contact their financial adviser. | PIMCO Asia Pte Ltd (Registration No. 199804652K) is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore as a holder of a capital markets services licence and an exempt financial adviser. The asset management services and investment products are not available to persons where provision of such services and products is unauthorised. | PIMCO Asia Limited is licensed by the Securities and Futures Commission for Types 1, 4 and 9 regulated activities under the Securities and Futures Ordinance. PIMCO Asia Limited is registered as a cross-border discretionary investment manager with the Financial Supervisory Commission of Korea (Registration No. 08-02-307). The asset management services and investment products are not available to persons where provision of such services and products is unauthorised. | PIMCO Australia Pty Ltd ABN 54 084 280 508, AFSL 246862. This publication has been prepared without taking into account the objectives, financial situation or needs of investors. Before making an investment decision, investors should obtain professional advice and consider whether the information contained herein is appropriate having regard to their objectives, financial situation and needs. | PIMCO Japan Ltd, Financial Instruments Business Registration Number is Director of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Financial Instruments Firm) No. 382. PIMCO Japan Ltd is a member of Japan Investment Advisers Association and The Investment Trusts Association, Japan. All investments contain risk. There is no guarantee that the principal amount of the investment will be preserved, or that a certain return will be realized; the investment could suffer a loss. All profits and losses incur to the investor. The amounts, maximum amounts and calculation methodologies of each type of fee and expense and their total amounts will vary depending on the investment strategy, the status of investment performance, period of management and outstanding balance of assets and thus such fees and expenses cannot be set forth herein. | PIMCO Taiwan Limited is managed and operated independently. The reference number of business license of the company approved by the competent authority is (109) Jin Guan Tou Gu Xin Zi No. 027. 40F., No.68, Sec. 5, Zhongxiao E. Rd., Xinyi Dist., Taipei City 110, Taiwan (R.O.C.). Tel: +886 2 8729-5500. | PIMCO Canada Corp. (199 Bay Street, Suite 2050, Commerce Court Station, P.O. Box 363, Toronto, ON, M5L 1G2) services and products may only be available in certain provinces or territories of Canada and only through dealers authorized for that purpose. ©2020, PIMCO.